NELIGAN LLP

PATRICK J. NELIGAN, JR. State Bar No. 14866000 DOUGLAS J. BUNCHER State Bar No. 03342700 JOHN D. GAITHER State Bar No. 24055516 325 North St. Paul, Suite 3600

Dallas, Texas 75201
Telephone: 214-840-5333
Facsimile: 214-840-5301
pneligan@neliganlaw.com
dbuncher@neliganlaw.com
jgaither@neliganlaw.com
Counsel for Debtors and
Debtors-in-Possession

GARMAN TURNER GORDON LLP

GREGORY E. GARMAN

Nevada Bar No. 6654, admitted pro hac vice

Email: ggarman@gtg.legal WILLIAM M. NOALL

Nevada Bar No. 3549, admitted pro hac vice

Email: wnoall@gtg.legal DYLAN CICILIANO

Nevada Bar No. 12348, admitted pro hac vice

E-mail: dciciliano@gtg.legal 7251 Amigo Street, Suite 210 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 Telephone: 725-777-3000 Facsimile: 725-777-3112 Counsel for Debtors

and Debtors-in-Possession

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

IN RE:	§	CHAPTER 11
	§	
NATIONAL RIFLE ASSOCIATION OF	§	CASE NO. 21-30085-hdh11
AMERICA and SEA GIRT LLC,	§	
	§	
DEBTORS ¹	Š	Jointly Administered
	Š	-

DEBTORS' OBJECTIONS TO ACKERMAN MCQUEEN, INC.'S DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS AND COUNTER-DESIGNATIONS THERETO

The National Rifle Association of America ("NRA") and Sea Girt LLC ("Sea Girt" and together with NRA, the "Debtors"), debtors and debtors-in-possession, by and through their counsel, submit the following Objections and Counter-Designations to the Ackerman McQueen, Inc.'s ("AMc") Deposition Designations.

. . .

¹ The last four digits of the Debtors' taxpayer identification numbers are: 6130 (NRA) and 5681 (Sea Girt).

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

The Debtors object to the designations of any portion of the transcripts of Daniel Boren, Millie Hallow, Carolyn Meadows, Oliver North, and Steve Hart for those reasons asserted in the Debtors' Objections to Deposition Testimony from Prior Unrelated Cases and for Which Witnesses are Not Unavailable and Request to Exclude the Same [ECF No. 494] ("Motion to Exclude") which arguments are incorporated herein as if fully set forth herein. The objections and counter-designations are therefore applicable only to the extent that the Motion to Exclude is overruled or the objections stated herein are otherwise overruled.

The Debtors further reserve their right to raise objections to testimony as it is read into the record or played live at the hearing in this matter.

Furthermore, the designations included testimony that may be cited as confidential and therefore, upon the Court's ruling on objections and testimony being submitted for the record, certain information may need to be sealed as appropriate.

Finally, the designating party has not indicated an intent to introduce any exhibits through these designations and Debtors reserves their rights to object if, and when, the designating party moves for the admission of any exhibits into evidence.

Debtors reserve the right to amend or supplement these objections and counter-designations.

SPECIFIC OBJECTIONS

Deposition of Daniel Boren, taken November 30, 2020

Page: Lines	Objections	Counter-designations
6:8-16	Relevance (FRE 401)	
8:16-9:9	Relevance	
12:7-11	Relevance	
13:1-9	Relevance	
13:14-21	Relevance	

Page: Lines	Objections	Counter-designations
24:2-9	Relevance; FRE 106 [24:1]; Assumes facts not in evidence; Foundation (FRE 602)	
25:15-27:16	Foundation; Speculation; Assumes facts not in evidence; Hearsay (25:22-26:13, 27:1-16)	
28:6-29:1	Relevance; Speculation; Foundation	
29:6-30:10	Relevance; Speculation; Hearsay (29:14-30:10)	
30:15-19	Hearsay (30:15-19)	30:20-24
30:25-31:7	Relevance	
31:22-32:10	Foundation; Speculation; Hearsay (31:22-32:6)	31:17-21
32:15-23	FRE 106 (32:14]); Speculation; Calls for a legal conclusion	32:24-33:2
33:7-11		
35:23-38:4	Relevance; Foundation (37:18-38:4); Speculation (37:18-38:4); Hearsay (37:2-12, 37:16-38:4)	
38:16-18	Relevance; Ambiguous	38:5-15
39:8-40:25	Foundation; Speculation; Hearsay (39:15-19, 39:23-40:25)	38:19-39:7
42:3-7	Relevance; Ambiguous; Hearsay (42:3-42:7)	
43:7-13		
44:9-18		
45:21-46:23	Relevance; Hearsay (45:21-46:2)	
47:19-48:18	Speculation (48:13-18)	

Page: Lines	Objections	Counter-designations
49:4-50:12	Relevance; Hearsay (49:20-50:9); Speculation	
52:1-53:10	Relevance; Foundation; Speculation	53:11-53:15
43:16-19	Improper Designation; Relevance	
54:24-55:15	Relevance; Hearsay (55:8-15)	55:16-19
55:21-57:10	Relevance; Assumes facts not in evidence; Document speaks for itself; Hearsay (56:9-57:10)	
57:14-58:10	Relevance; Foundation; Speculation; Hearsay (58:2-58:10)	
60:22-61:9	Relevance; Hearsay (61:1-9)	
61:20-62:20	Hearsay (61:24-62:20)	
62:23-53:9	Improper Designation; FRE 106 (62:21-22, 63:1-9); Relevance	63:10-14
64:20-65:18	Hearsay (64:20-65:18)	
65:23-67:5	Relevance; Speculation	
67:13-18	Relevance; Hearsay (67:16-18)	
67:23-68:23	Relevance; Speculation; Hearsay (68:14-23); Ambiguous	
69:9-21		69:22-70:3
70:4-6	Relevance	
71:24-72:7	Relevance	
72:16-24	Relevance; Hearsay (72:16-24)	
73:2-15	Relevance; Speculation	
73:21-74:10	Relevance; Hearsay (74:3-10)	

Page: Lines	Objections	Counter-designations
74:14-75:3	Relevance; Foundation; Speculation	
75:19-76:12	Relevance; Hearsay (76:7-12)	
76:16-77:1	Relevance; Document speaks for itself	
78:16-20	Relevance; Document speaks for itself; Hearsay; Hearsay within Hearsay	
79:20-80:5	Document speaks for itself; Hearsay; Speculation; Legal Conclusion	
80:15-81:5	Hearsay	81:6-9
81:10-13	Document speaks for itself	
82:11-83:3		
83:9-17		
84:1-4	Counsel's question is not evidence; FRE 106 (83:25)	
84:10-87:9	Counsel's question is not evidence; Speculation; Document speaks for itself; Hearsay	
90:16-20	Relevance	
90:25-91:5	Relevance; Hearsay	
94:7-95:23	Relevance; Speculation	
113:11-25		
118:8-21	Hearsay; Ambiguous; Document speaks for itself	
121:1-3	Relevance	
121:9-25	Hearsay	
131:12-17		

Page: Lines	Objections	Counter-designations
132:11-133:2		133:3-14
135:12-24	Improper Designation; Relevance	
136:1-7	Improper Designation; Relevance	
136:12-15	Relevance	
137:3-23	Relevance; Hearsay (137:18-23)	
138:14-139:2		
139:7-16		
146:13-147:15	Document speaks for itself; Relevance; Speculation	
151:19-152:13		
152:20-25		
157:10-159:24	Improper Designation; Relevance; Document speaks for itself; Hearsay; Hearsay within Hearsay	
160:2-3		
160:5-161:16	Improper Designation; FRE 106 (160:4); Relevance (FRE 401)	
164:17-165:12	Lack of Foundation; Relevance; Argumentative, Leading, Form, Assumes facts not in evidence	
165:16-18	Improper Designation; FRE 106 (165:15); Relevance	
166:2-7	Ambiguous; Relevance	165:19-166:1
166:11-169:20	Improper Designation; FRE 106 (166:8-10); Relevance; Question calls for speculation, legal conclusion, and characterization of events that occurred (169:17-20)	

Page: Lines	Objections	Counter-designations
171:2-5	Relevance; Question calls for speculation, legal conclusion, and characterization of events that occurred	
171:8-173:23	Relevance; Document speaks for itself; Question calls for speculation and characterization of events that occurred; incomplete hypothetical; argumentative	
177:10-13		
177:24-178:8	Question calls for speculation and characterization of events that occurred; incomplete hypothetical; argumentative	
180:17-181:22		

Deposition of Millie Hallow, taken January 1, 2020

Page: Lines	Objections	Counter-designations
10:8-13		
10:18-22		
19:20-20:24		
23:2-25		
27:23-30:19		
34:3-22		
35:17-36:17		
37:6-18		
39:2-45:2		
45:14-46:25		
47:10-49:12		

Page: Lines	Objections	Counter-designations
49:25-51:24	Attorney-client privilege	
52:16-54:10	Relevance	
56:1-57:25	Improper designation attorney colloquy is not evidence	
58:8-25	Improper designation attorney colloquy is not evidence	
59:20-61:13		
62:7-20	Relevance	
65:13-66:20		
69:2-75:23	Hearsay; relevance	
77:10-80:1		
89:14-90:4	Lacks foundation; calls for speculation	
94:11-25	Form; calls for speculation	
96:1-97:8	Ambiguous; lacks foundation	
105:12-19	Assumes facts not in evidence	
106:10-107:2		
110:11-113:11	Ambiguous; misleading	
126:9-129:14	Ambiguous; misleading	
130:7-12		
132:20-134:19		
137:3-138:21		
139:3-18		
140:15-151:12		

Page: Lines	Objections	Counter-designations
151:16-154:3		
155:14-21		
160:15-161:9	Lacks foundation; ambiguous	
161:13-163:22		
164:7-166:19		
167:1-172:12	Hearsay; calls for speculation	
172:16-173:10	Lacks foundation; calls for speculation	
173:19-175:14	Hearsay; calls for speculation; lacks foundation	
175:16-176:7		
176:14-24	Lacks foundation; calls for speculation	
177:1-187:23	Lacks foundation (182:13-14, 183:1-2, 183:11-12, 186:21-24); hypothetical; vague; ambiguous (185:11-14)	
188:21-197:25	Improper opinion, lacks foundation (190:4-5); vague; hypothetical; relevance (197:7-16	
199:1-201:2	Lacks foundation	
201:11-202:25	Argumentative (202:18-21); Mischaracterizes prior testimony	
203:6-204:9	Calls for legal conclusion; ambiguous	
204:12-205:15	Calls for legal conclusion; calls for speculation	
205:24-206:12		
206:21-208:19	Misstates prior testimony; misleading (20-23)	

Deposition of Steve Hart, taken February 4, 2020

Page: Lines	Objections	Counter-designations
11:9-10		
12:3-6		
12:18-13:2	Ambiguous; Misleading	
17:5-8		
17:11-18:9		
18:13-22		
21:11-20		
22:1-5		
22:10-25:5	Relevance	
25:12-26:5		
27:10-28:7	Relevance	
43:15-45:4		
47:3-48:9		
52:20-56:9	Ambiguous; Misleading; Lacks Foundation	
57:2-61:22	Ambiguous; Misleading; Lacks Foundation	
62:15-65:22	Ambiguous; Misleading; Lacks Foundation	
66:15-68:20	Ambiguous; Misleading; Lacks Foundation	
69:4-14		
70:15-71:18		
77:8-78:10	Lacks foundation; Calls for speculation	
79:8-80:18	Lacks foundation; Calls for speculation	

Page: Lines	Objections	Counter-designations
81:5-82:18		
82:21-83:9	Ambiguous; Misleading; Lacks Foundation	
84:5-6		
84:22-85:14	Ambiguous	
91:6-95:20	Attorney-client privilege	
101:11-21	Ambiguous; Misleading; Lacks Foundation	
105:4-106:1		
106:19-108:4		
109:7-11		
110:10-20		
111:1-6		
111:10-11		
111:15-16		
112:5-113:6	Relevance	
114:22-116:6		
116:12-120:9	Ambiguous; Misleading; Lacks Foundation; hearsay	
121:14-19		
123:5-129:22	Lacks foundation; Calls for speculation	
131:3-132:15		
133:16-134:14		
134:20-135:22	Attorney-client privilege	

Page: Lines	Objections	Counter-designations
137:14-138:22		
139:20-142:22		
143:4-144:22	Lacks foundation; Calls for speculation	
145:8-146:1	Ambiguous; Misleading; Lacks Foundation; hearsay	
146:11-147:2		
149:1-7		
149:17-20		
150:4-8		
150:16-152:14	Hearsay; speculation	
154:11-156:19		
157:15-21		
158:6-12		
159:20-162:11		
162:17-21	Compound; hearsay	
163:1-16		
164:12-166:6	Attorney client privilege; lacks foundation	
167:5-168:9		168:10-169:16
169:18-174:12	Lacks foundation; ambiguous; hearsay	
178:1-179:19		
180:1-4	Ambiguous; Misleading	
180:20-181:20	Ambiguous; Misleading	

Page: Lines	Objections	Counter-designations
183:15-17		
184:2		
186:7-187:1	Ambiguous; Misleading, foundation	
187:16-188:22		
189:2-7	Attorney client privilege; speculation	
190:12-191:4	Question/answer is derived and based on hearsay	
192:4-10		
196:11-197:13	Question/answer is derived and based on hearsay; attorney client privilege	
198:14-203:2	Hearsay	
206:11-207:11	Ambiguous, speculation	
207:21-208:20		
209:3-9	Hearsay	
211:13-18	Hearsay	
212:5-8		
214:1-12	Speculation, foundation	
215:3-21	Hearsay, speculation, foundation	
216:18-22	Hearsay, speculation, foundation	
218:13-21	Hearsay, speculation, foundation	
220:3-11		
220:22-222:7	Speculation, foundation	
223:8-224:18	Speculation, foundation	

Page: Lines	Objections	Counter-designations
225:11-225:20	Hearsay, attorney client privilege	
225:22-226:2	Speculation, ambiguous	
226:10-16	Speculation, ambiguous	
226:22-231:3	Speculation, ambiguous, hearsay	
231:13-232:18	Speculation, ambiguous	
235:1-8	Speculation, relevance, ambiguous	
235:11-237:14	Speculation, relevance, ambiguous	
240:6-241:5	Speculation, relevance, ambiguous	
242:10-243:5	Speculation, relevance, ambiguous	
247:11-249:5	Speculation, relevance, ambiguous	
250:6-251:4	Lacks foundation, Speculation, relevance	
253:6-13		
254:4-258:3	Speculation, ambiguous, hearsay	
258:13-19		
259:22-260:18	Hearsay	
262:19-268:9	Speculation, relevance	268:10-19
276:22-277:22	Speculation	
279:14-280:21	Lacks foundation, speculation	
281:1-20	Hearsay	
282:16-285:10	Lacks foundation, speculation	
287:1-11	Relevance	

Page: Lines	Objections	Counter-designations
288:4-18	Relevance	
289:3-7	Relevance	
289:11-292:15	Speculation, relevance, lacks foundation	
293:12-14		
293:20		
295:5-17		
296:6-297:5	Speculation, relevance, lacks foundation	
298:22-300:13	Speculation, relevance, lacks foundation	
300:21-301:11	Speculation, relevance, lacks foundation	
301:11-302:22		
303:10-304:7		
304:18-306:21	Speculation, relevance, lacks foundation	
307:14-17	relevance, lacks foundation	
310:4-311:16	Speculation, relevance, lacks foundation	
311:21-318:3	Speculation, relevance, lacks foundation, hearsay	
319:3-9		
319:18-320:13	Speculation, relevance, lacks foundation	
321:1-325:22	Relevance, hearsay	
327:19-330:2	Lacks foundation	
331:20-332:2		
337:22-338:14		

Page: Lines	Objections	Counter-designations
339:12-340:6		
341:9-18	Speculation, relevance, lacks foundation	
341:22-343:4	Speculation, relevance, lacks foundation	
343:10-344:8	Relevance	
353:13-354:1	Relevance	
354:10-355:22	Relevance	
358:20-359:8	Relevance, attorney client privilege	
365:3-369:10	Relevance	
373:2-7		
373:21-375:13	Relevance	
377:6-8	Relevance	
377:19-378:1	Relevance; speculation	
379:6-380:11	Relevance; speculation	
381:1-3		
381:8		
381:18-384:3	Relevance; speculation	
384:21-385:7	Relevance	
387:4-7		
388:14-20	Relevance	
393:15-397:8	Relevance; speculation	
401:16-402:10	Lacks foundation, speculation	

Page: Lines	Objections	Counter-designations
402:18-406:6	Relevance	
406:16-407:16	Relevance	
108:2-409:6		
410:8-22	Speculation	
413:2-22	Attorney client privilege	
414:7-415:3		
430:13-431:7		
431:17-22	Relevance	
433:8-436:18	Attorney client privilege	
461:17-462:21	Speculation, relevance	
463:12-16		
465:18-22		
466:11-467:19		
470:11-471:21		

Deposition of Carolyn Meadows, taken January 29, 2020

Page: Lines	Objections	Counter-designations
14:19-15:16		36:11-16
33:21-35:13		
35:22-36:10		
38:14-39:8		
39:11		

Page: Lines	Objections	Counter-designations
39:13-15		
40:2-10		
40:14-15		
40:17-79		
45:19-46:22		
47:6-13		
50:15-51:16		
52:6-21		
63:3-64:9		
66:11-68:2		
68:9-12		
68:14		
68:16-69:9		
85:16-86:14		
86:19-20		
87:2		
106:10-107:20		
109:20-110:12		
110:14-15		
114:19-115:17		
115:22-116:3		
116:15-117:16		
118:1-119:5		117:17-22

Page: Lines	Objections	Counter-designations
119:7-13	Calls for speculation; improper opinion	
119:18-120:1	Calls for speculation; calls for a legal conclusion	
123:19-125:11		
125:13-127:15	Calls for speculation; calls for a legal conclusion	
127:17-128:5	Calls for speculation	
140:4-18		
142:3-20		
143:18-19		
144:20-146:2		
147:13-152:15	Hearsay; Calls for speculation	
158:1-18		
166:6-172:13	Hearsay; calls for speculation	
185:11-188:22	Improper opinion; calls for speculation; hearsay	
189:9-191:20		
201:17-208:19	Assumes facts not in evidence; calls for speculation; improper opinion	208:21-22
209:1-212:14	Calls for a legal conclusion; calls for speculation	
223:10-227:21	Improper opinion; calls for speculation	
228:22		
230:18		
231:22-232:10		

Page: Lines	Objections	Counter-designations
233:12-234:14		
239:3-240:12		
241:21-243:15	Assumes facts not in evidence	
250:5-7	Assumes facts not in evidence	
252:20-253:4	Assumes facts not in evidence	
259:14-260:20	Form	
261:1-15	Hearsay	
262:1-263:20		
264:10-17		
335:6-16		

Deposition of Oliver North, taken December 18, 2019

Page: Lines	Objections	Counter-designations
12:19-25		
15:9-18:3	Relevance	
18:23-20:24		
21: 10-22:4		
22:9-23:3	Form, leading	
24:1-9	Form, leading	
24:12-26:5		
26:13-28:23		
29:12-30:17 30:19-31:11	Inadmissible as privileged information belonging to NRA; Hearsay	
32:7-34:1	belonging to INCA, riearsay	

Page: Lines	Objections	Counter-designations
34:11-35:25		
36:12-37:17		
38:11-39:24		
41:6-12	Form, leading; Lacks foundation, assumes facts not in evidence	
41:14-43:2		
43:20-43:24		
43:25-44:7	Form, leading; Lacks foundation; assumes facts not evidence	
44:8-46:2 46:3-16		
46:20-47:1 47:3-48:21		
49:11-49:18		
50:16-51:20		
51:21-24	Form, leading	
51:25-52:1		
52:2-3	Form, leading Calls for speculation	
52:4-52:12		
54:2-9		
54:10-12	Form, leading	

Page: Lines	Objections	Counter-designations
54:13-55:25		
56:1-7	Calls for speculation	
56:9-57:2		
57:16-58:18 58:20-59:3		
59:16-18		
59:23-60:1		
60:5-13		
66:3-67:2	Form, leading	
67:11-68:22	Form, leading; Question and answer based on reading from document that constitutes inadmissible hearsay	
68:23-24	Form, leading	
68:25-69:8 68:10-13		
70:13-71:7	Question and answer based on reading from document that constitutes inadmissible hearsay	
71:8-10 71:12-72:1		
72:9-13	Form, leading Argumentative	
72:15-73:4		
74:13-75:3		
75:14-17 75:19-80:8		
81:3-8 81:1182:7		82:8-83:9

Page: Lines	Objections	Counter-designations
83:14-84:11		
87:17-25 88:3-6, 9-20		
89:18-90:14		
90:22-92:23		
95:5-95:23		
96:9-22		
99:10-14 99:16-100:16		
101:20-102:13		
101:14-20	Form, leading, speculation	
101:21-103:7 103:9-15 103:19-105:6		
105:19-25		
106:11-14		
107:8-25	Calls for legal conclusion	
108:1-110:7		
110:8-112:13	Calls for legal conclusion	
112:14-24		
112:25-113:9	Calls for legal conclusion; Testimony discloses attorney-client privilege belonging to NRA	

Page: Lines	Objections	Counter-designations
113:9-114:5	Questions and answers based on reading from document that constitutes inadmissible hearsay	
114:20-21		
114:20-115:18	Questions and answers based on reading from document that constitutes inadmissible hearsay	
115:21-118:19		
118:20-23	Testimony discloses attorney-client privilege belonging to NRA Board	
118:24-119:8		
123:17-124:23		
124:24-125:3	Testimony discloses attorney-client privilege belonging to NRA	
126:8-129:18	Hearsay statements from unnamed board members; Questions and answers based on reading from document that constitutes inadmissible hearsay	
129:19-130:17	mannssiere nearsay	
130:18-131:2	Question calls for and testimony offers legal opinion	
135:6-138:8	Testimony discloses attorney-client privilege belonging to NRA	
137:19-138:8	Testimony discloses attorney-client privilege belonging to NRA	
138:9-15		
138:16-23	Testimony discloses attorney-client privilege belonging to NRA	
139:21-142:5		

Page: Lines	Objections	Counter-designations
143:4-147:13		
148:22-149:5		
149:6-23	Question calls for and testimony answer offers speculation	
149:24-151:16		
151:16-21	Testimony discloses attorney-client privilege belonging to NRA	
151:22-157:15		
159:11-161:15		
162:2-163:16		
163:17-163:23	Testimony discloses attorney-client privilege belonging to NRA	
163:23-164:15		
164:16-166:22	Questions and answers based on reading from document that constitutes inadmissible hearsay	
166:23-170:7		
170:8-12	Form, leading	
170:13-172:24 173:4-174:23		
175:2-23		
176:9-178:24 179:4-8		
179:14-185:12		
185:12-17	Testimony discloses attorney-client privilege belonging to NRA	

Page: Lines	Objections	Counter-designations
185:18-186:17		
189:13-190:16		
190:17-195:1	Questions and answers based on reading from document that constitutes inadmissible hearsay	
195:2-197:6		
199:17-203:12		
203:24-204:9, 11 204:14-206:13		
206:17-18		
206:24-207:18	Questions and answers based on reading from document that constitutes inadmissible hearsay	
207:19-208:20		
208:21-210:22	Questions and answers based on reading from document that constitutes inadmissible hearsay	
211:1-213:11	Questions and answers based on reading from document that constitutes inadmissible hearsay	
213:12-216:23		
219:1-220:3		
221:20-23		
222:7-8, 222:21-25 223:1-3		
223:10-224:15 224:17-25	Speculation; foundation	

Page: Lines	Objections	Counter-designations
227:11-229:12		
229:13-230:3	Speculation; Lacks foundation	
230:4-237:2		
237:10-240:4		
240:5-13	Speculation; Lacks foundation	
240:14-241:19		
243:8-244:3		
244:7-11, 13-17	Lacks foundation; Hearsay	
244:20-245:22	Testimony discloses attorney-client privilege belonging to NRA	
245:23-25		
252:25-256:5		
256:14-17 256:19-260:10 260:14-261:16 261:19-262:5	Leading; argumentative (260:2-6, 14-24, 261:14-16, 261:19-262:2) Speculations	
262:7-8	Speculations	
262:20-263:5		
263:16-20		
265:6-11	Question and answer based on reading from document that constitutes inadmissible	
265:21-24	hearsay	
266:1-7	Question and answer based on reading from document that constitutes inadmissible hearsay	

Page: Lines	Objections	Counter-designations
266:10-14	Question and answer based on reading from document that constitutes inadmissible hearsay	
266:17-22	Question and answer based on reading from document that constitutes inadmissible hearsay	

Deposition of Wilson Phillips, taken March 29, 2021

Page: Lines	Objections	Counter-designations
15-11-14		
16:21-18:12		
18:20-20:12		
20:13-21:3		
21:4-24:23	Vague and ambiguous (21:10-22:8)	
25:3-7		
25:11-17	Incomplete	25:18-22
25:20-26:12		
26:21-27:3		
27:11-28:24	Incomplete	27:4-17 - 28:25-29:7
29:10-30:13		30:14-24
31:24-32:24		
33:18-35:14		
35:24-36:4	Relevance	36:5-18
36:19-37:8	Relevance	

Page: Lines	Objections	Counter-designations
37:19-37:22	Relevance	
38:10-40:8		
43:9-44:9	Hearsay, lacks foundation, improper hypothetical (43:9-15)	
44:13-25		
45:4-47:1		
52:11-55:5		
55:19-58:6	Calls for speculation (57:18-58:1)	
58:15-62:13	Misstates testimony (59:11-22); Document speaks for itself (60:3-5); Foundation and speculation (60:23-25, 61:5-14)	
63:6-64:10		
66:1-67:16		
68:10-76:11	Foundation and speculation (73:25 – 76:11)	
76:12-16		
76:23-77:14		
78:8-81:14	Foundation and speculation	81:5-82:10
81:22-90.3		
90:16-91.3		
91:23-92:8		
92:16-93:24	Foundation and speculation	
96:15-96:25	Foundation and speculation	
97:7-98:9		98:16-18

Page: Lines	Objections	Counter-designations
98:19-98:22		
100:11-104:6	Foundation and speculation	
108:17-111:2	Foundation and speculation	
11:23-113:13	Foundation and speculation	
115:7-116:9		
117:15-121:19		
121:21-123:1		123:2-123:16
124:12-125:17	Foundation, speculation, assumes facts not in evidence (125:10-14)	
126:9-127:3	Foundation and speculation (126:9-20)	
127:10-22		
128:1-8	Vague, calls for a legal conclusion, improper opinion; relevance	
128:11-19		
129:9-147:13	Foundation and speculation (129:18-132:19; 133:11-134:3; 134:16-135:23; 136:18; 139:19-140:9; 146:4-20); Assumes facts not in evidence (140:10-11; 140:21-22; 141:7-9; 142-7-8); Document speaks for itself, calls for a legal conclusion (143:13-146:3); Misstates testimony (146:21-147:13)	
148:23-150:2	Foundation	
152:12-153:11	Foundation (152:12-153:6)	
153:12-154:2	Speculation and assumes facts not in evidence (153:12-14)	
157:8-12		

Page: Lines	Objections	Counter-designations
157:20-159:2	Foundation and speculation	
160:10-163:21	Foundation and speculation (161:17-21; 162:2-16; 163:6-10)	
164:17-174:14	Vague (164:17-165:14); Foundation (165:22-166:7; 173:23-25); Speculation (170:22-171:17)	
175:25-176:9		
176:18-19		
177:1-3		
178:4-181:19	Foundation (178:4-179:2); Foundation and speculation (180:25-181:6)	
182:5-17	Foundation and speculation	
184:13-19	Foundation and speculation	
185:7-13		
185:20-186:1	Assumes facts not in evidence	
186:11-187:9	Foundation and speculation	
187:23-188:7		
191:7-192:19	Foundation and speculation	
193:14-194:5		
204:14-212:13	Foundation: document speaks for itself; Assumes facts not in evidence (206:8)	
212:19-20		
213:4-215:16	Calls for a legal conclusion (215:5-13)	
216:14 – 218:22	Foundation and speculation (216:19-21); Relevance and improper opinion (218:14-17)	

Vague; Speculation	
Foundation, hearsay, assumes facts not in evidence	
Foundation, vague, assumes facts not in evidence	
	230:10-11
Relevance, improper opinion, the document speaks for itself, speculation (231:17-232:13)	
Foundation	
Foundation and speculation	
Foundation and speculation	
Hearsay	
Relevance, improper opinion, foundation, and speculation	
	Foundation, hearsay, assumes facts not in evidence Foundation, vague, assumes facts not in evidence Relevance, improper opinion, the document speaks for itself, speculation (231:17-232:13) Foundation Foundation and speculation Foundation and speculation Hearsay Relevance, improper opinion, foundation,

Page: Lines	Objections	Counter-designations
	(Confidential)	
240:23-241:4	Foundation and speculation	
281:15-282:11		284:23-287:13

Deposition of Gayle Stanford, taken March 25, 2021

Page: Lines	Objections	Counter-designations
13:2-8		
13:25-14:8		
14:13-19		
14:21-15:25		
16:1-12		
16:13-17:8		
17:17-19:4		
20:7-9		
22:7-15		22:16-17
23:7-14		
24:14-25:4		
25:24-26:13		
26:14-27:15		
28:3-25		
29:1-3		29:3-11
31:11-35:5	Vague; Hearsay (34:15-34:23)	

Page: Lines	Objections	Counter-designations
36:1-3		
36:5-25		
37:12-38:2		
40:8-41:2		
41:15-42:22		
42:25-43:21		44:4-5
44:20-45:9		
45:23-49:11	Speculation (46:16-46:22)	
49:12-14		49:15-20
49:21-50:11	Vague	
50:12-15		
50:18-51:10		
51:25-53:4		
53:8-22		
54:10-22		
55:14-56:7		
57:25-59:14		
60:18-23		
60:25-61:11		
61:13-25		
62:24-63:16		

Page: Lines	Objections	Counter-designations
65:18-66:11	Misstates testimony	
66:17-67:15		
67:16-22		
67:23-68:7	Calls for speculation	
68:19-24		
75:21-76:8		
86:23-87:6		87:7-16
87:17-89:12		
91:9-17		
91:23-93:21		93:22-25
95:1-96:25		
97:5-98:2		
118:17-119:2		
119:7-121:15		
122:1-18	Speculation (122:13-18)	
122:19-124:5	Outside scope of direct	

Dated: April 7, 2021 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Gregory E. Garman

Patrick J. Neligan, Jr., SBN 14866000 Douglas J. Buncher, SBN 03342700 John D. Gaither, SBN 24055516

NELIGAN, LLP

325 North St. Paul, Suite 3600

Dallas, Texas 75201 Telephone: 214-840-5333 Facsimile: 214-840-5301 pneligan@dneliganlaw.com dbuncher@neliganlaw.com jgaither@neliganlaw.com

Gregory E. Garman

Nevada Bar No. 6654, admitted pro hac vice

William M. Noall

Nevada Bar No. 3549, admitted pro hac vice

Dylan Ciciliano

Counsel for Debtors

Nevada Nar No. 12348, admitted pro hac vice

GARMAN TURNER GORDON LLP

7251 Amigo Street, Suite 210 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 T: 725-777-3000 / F: 725-777-3112 ggarman@gtg.legal wnoall@gtg.legal dciciliano@gtg.legal **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document has been served on all parties receiving notice by and through the Court's CM/ECF system on

April 7, 2021.

/s/ Caitlin Halm

Caitlin Halm, an employee of Garman Turner Gordon LLP